

March 17, 1976

I think that's a substantial investment and one that cannot be brushed over by you stating that this Legislature has done nothing, that the Board of Regents has done nothing and that the Administration has done nothing. In addition to that, I think that if your accusations are correct that the administration of this University, that Chancellor Breckenridge and President Varner and the current makeup of the Board of Regents, do not care about undergraduate education then we had best fire them, we had best get rid of those administrators. That's absolutely undefensible, unjustifiable for a member of this Legislature, I think, to say that the President of the University, the Chancellor of the major campus, does not care about the major area of his responsibility which is delivering of education at the undergraduate level. He doesn't care about that at all. The only people who do care are Senator Bereuter and this Legislature and then say that we're going to continue to pay him \$44,000 a year, or we're going to continue to pay the Chancellor \$35,000 a year to preside over a system that he doesn't care about. He doesn't care about the major ingredient of his responsibility. The Board of Regents don't care about the major ingredients. The way we're going to solve that is appropriate \$800,000 and leave these people in the positions with the same attitudes and administering their programs in the same fashion as they have in the past. Senator Bereuter, I think that you have to defend that statement and that proposition because Senator Kelly then raises the other side of the coin. If we have been funneling all of this money into the University system the administrators have acted contrary to the best interests of the undergraduates of the State of Nebraska. If they have used these monies for less than desirable goals then we should cease to have those people who have directed those policies in those positions. We cannot solve that problem of misuse of the monies, misapplication of the monies, by expansion of the funds in another area and not address the misuse of the money in the past. So I think that for the Budget Committee to have any respect on the floor of the Legislature you cannot come before us and say "we must expand it for this program". "I'm going to put you on record", Senator Bereuter says to us "as to whether or not you're going to support the undergraduates, but I'm not going to put you on record as to whether or not you support waste in the administration or misapplication of funds in the administration. I don't care whether we're on record about that. We'll just let that go on as it is if we can expand undergraduate education". Now I just can't sit still for that kind of a proposal, Senator Bereuter. I think that you have to do a better job than you have as far as addressing where the funds have been misapplied in the past; why we have, in the years that I've been here, appropriated \$800,000 a year for expansion of undergraduate education and we have not improved it and we have not met our responsibility. We can't simply continue to keep going down this road year after year. Each year I've asked that program be justified. I'm going to ask you the same ... in the same fashion to justify this program. Each year I have been rejected in the justification. I have had to drag out, of the Appropriations Committee, the number of people that this was intended to expand the faculty by. I reviewed the record again of last years floor debate, of the year before's floor debate, and I have never received any adequate answers to those questions. They're fair questions. When you ask me for \$800,000, I ought